

**REGULAR MEETING OF THE WALLACE PLANNING, ZONING
AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION
May 4, 2022**

Vice-Chairman Frieh called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm at Wallace City Hall in the Council Chambers.

Roll Call: Commission members present: Sara Murphy, Dave Kuns, Courtney Frieh, Scott Lewis. Absent members: Emma Stayduhar

Minutes:

S. Lewis made a motion to approve the minutes of the April 6, 2022 regular Planning and Zoning Meeting. Seconded by S. Murphy. S. Lewis clarified the motion to include both meetings April 6, 2022 regular Planning and Zoning meeting and April 20, 2022 Special Meeting. S. Murphy seconded the clarified motion. Roll call vote: Murphy; yes, Kuns; yes, Frieh; yes, Lewis; yes. All in favor, motion carries. No further discussion.

Business:

415 Second Street-Tree removal

Steve Langer is requesting permission to remove a tree located immediately in front of his house. C. Frieh stated that the Commission did not receive any supporting documentation for this item and because of this, Frieh asked if the Commissioners were ok with proceeding and hearing the application without the supporting documentation. Discussion was held. Mr. Langer stated he was a little confused as to the process and the excessive requirements being asked of him. The Commissioners stated they were ok with hearing the application. Mr. Langer brought pictures for the Commission to review. Stated that the tree is diseased and dying and currently in the power lines. He's requesting to remove it immediately. C. Frieh went on to site Title 11-1-3 (B)1 and stated City Trees are the jurisdiction of the City and can only be approved for removal by the Mayor Mogensen or Wallace City Council. C. Frieh directed Mr. Langer to discuss this with the Mayor Mogensen and that the Clerk would follow-up to make sure the Mayor Mogensen received the information.

308 Third Street-Fence removal

Dean and Christine Berry addressed the Commissioners and stated the fence is rotting and falling down and they wish to completely remove the fence and then replace a portion of it back further, closer to the house with mostly new materials. Discussion was held. C. Frieh stated that this project is a repair and maintenance and therefor does not need Commission approval. It's already preapproved. All commissioners were in agreement that it's repair and maintenance.

510 Pine Street-Mural

Jim Hendrixson, representing Casey Ingles, owner of 510 Pine Street, is requesting a certificate of appropriateness for the painting of a mural on the side of the building. Jim stated that Casey has had discussions with a local artist. C. Frieh spoke and wanted to state that "as a Commission, not only are the Planning and Zoning, but Historic Design Review, and when we look at Historic Design Review, we are looking at the architecture of the building and whether the proposed project compliments the architecture or whether it complies with the code, being a project or an application that fits in the historic period which is between 1890 and 1920. Again, our job is to determine that whether the

proposed project complies with the code.” Frieh asked if the Commission has any questions or comments. S. Lewis asked if there was a public vetting for the hearing?

Public comment was received by Wallace City Hall and D. Clerk Trogden read into the record the correspondence written by Jeremey Watterson.

Dick Caron asked to speak pertaining to the mural. He stated “during my time in Wallace, I’ve observed a lot and my feelings are that I could care less what the imagery is, my only concern is generally, people who want murals in a community are looking for some sort of identity. Fortunately for us, we have an identity and that we spent a lot of years and a lot of time working on getting this community on the National Register of Historic Places. Not only did we do individual buildings in the downtown, but then got the entire town on the Register. Recently, a mural was put up much smaller than the one proposed that within a couple of days, the mural was painted out. Dick stated he doesn’t feel this proposed mural has anything to do with our historic community. He doesn’t think we need murals. “There is one mural in town which is the mining mural which is on the west of Building Maintenance, and I was opposed to that mural when it was proposed and very much opposed to this mural as well. I think in terms of patriotism, in the last 15 years, maybe too long, we have put flags out every year, but that’s not the issue. The issue to me is simply that we don’t need murals in Wallace. We need to keep the integrity of the buildings and I don’t feel that this does that.” Dick Caron went on to say that the people that are proposing this, the people that own the building did a fantastic job on the front of the building as well as the alley, but he doesn’t think we need the mural in Wallace.

D. Kuns asked what is it about this, or what is the aspect that the Commission has to consider to approve. C. Frieh stated that we can consider from the code the section on signs and the section on colors and treatment of brick. S. Murphy stated she doesn’t know the code as well as other Commissioners, but stated that there is a section that the painting of brick should be done as little as possible. And stated that is her main concern with this. C. Frieh stated W.C.C. 12-8-5 (H) 5, about brick painting. C. Frieh also stated murals have been put up over the last couple of years and those were required to be taken down within a matter of days. The only paintings that were ever on our buildings were advertisements and you can still see some of the remnants of those. Also, need to be really mindful of any precedent were setting. If we allow one person to paint on the side of their building, it’s very difficult to say no to someone else in the future to then deny future applicants the same thing or right.

Jim Hendrixson spoke and asked about Building Maintenance approval. Dick Caron stated it was recent, within four or five years and went through the City. Jim Hendrixson then asked, “for Historical Relevance, would it make a difference if it were prostitutes? Because that’s Wallace’s history.” Jim went on to state that “it’s hard for me to get my head around this because we have a silent population that is the most per capita of Veterans, serving or have served of any county in the State of Idaho that reside here. So as far as relevance for history and its significance, I would take exception to that to the highest level that it doesn’t have Historical Significance. This is not blind nationalism, this is a military, Level 1, defense contractor. They are here for the long term.” Discussion was held. D. Kuns stated that initially he was opposed to painting on the brick, but after he looked, the brick has stucco or concrete over it, so there really is no brick exposed, so he’s not even sure the brick applies in this situation. S. Lewis has no problem with the color palette.

Art Britton? (inaudible) asked to speak. He stated that he’s been on mural commissions before in other cities and one of the concerns in other cities is the maintenance of the mural after it’s up and do we have a commitment from the owners to maintain it.

Jim Hendrixson spoke to the statement and stated that the owners will maintain the mural. S. Lewis asked what type of product was the owners planning on using for the mural and is it going to look like the image shown. S. Murphy asked if mural will be accurate to the stars and stripes of the flag? Jim Hendrixson stated that it will be accurate to the flag. S. Murphy also asked about the treatment of the

brick and if it will be going over the peeling paint of the stucco. J. Hendrixson stated the peeling paint will be removed, but the stucco will remain. S. Lewis made a motion to approve the flag mural at 510 Pine Street for Grey Ghost Precision as presented. Seconded by D. Kuns. Roll call vote: Murphy; no, Kuns; yes, Frieh; no; Lewis; yes. Motion fails, no majority.

315 Fifth Street-Three Signs

Scott Haney, owner of 315 Fifth Street, is requesting approval of the placement of three signs on front façade of the building for the purpose of advertisement. Will be made of appropriate material and sized to fit in the façade. S. Murphy asked if the signs will be lit in any way. S. Haney stated no. Discussion was held. S. Murphy made a motion to approve the signs fitting into the façade properly and squared at 315 Fifth Street. More discussion was held on the color of the signs. S. Murphy made a motion to accept the colors as presented in the signs in keeping with their logos, fitting into the façade indicated by the three white squares at 315 Fifth Street. D. Kuns seconded the motion. Roll call vote: Murphy; yes, Kuns; yes, Frieh; yes, Lewis; yes. All in favor, motion carries.

127 King Street-Tree removal

Cecily and Ryan Niemi are requesting a Site Disturbance Permit for the removal of the tree located at the back of the property behind the garage. Pictures indicate that the tree is causing damage to both the roof and neighbor's shed and the roots could potentially damage the Placer Creek spillway wall. Both stated that the stump will remain, but will be cut flush with the ground/grade. S. Murphy made a motion to allow the tree to be removed at 127 King Street with no site disturbance needed with stump being cut at grade. S. Lewis seconded the motion. Roll call vote: Murphy; yes, Kuns; yes, Frieh; yes, Lewis; yes. All in favor, motion carries. No further discussion.

127 King Street-Replacement/reconstruction of garage

Cecily and Ryan Niemi are requesting a certificate of appropriateness for new garage to replace an existing garage that was damaged. C. Frieh asked if the whole garage was to be taken down. They both stated yes and that the contractors that were consulted were all in agreement that it has to come down, it's not salvageable. C. Frieh stated that there might be a need for a demolition permit, which would come from Planning and Zoning as a separate application, but requires its own hearing. Discussion was held. C. Frieh suggested that tonight, the Commission can hear the proposed plans and review designs of the construction of the building and then seek clarification on the demolition permit and get back with the applicants with an answer. All were in agreement. C. Frieh asked the applicants to describe the proposed garage. Ryan stated that it will have a black metal roof, to match the house which in the future will be painted with a black, cream and grey color scheme. C. Frieh asked if the footprint of the garage will be increased. They stated yes, four feet to the east and four feet to the south. C. Frieh asked if the height going to be comparable to what is existing. They stated yes. C. Frieh read into the record Title 12-8-5 (H). More discussion was held. S. Lewis made a motion to approve the design of the garage located at 127 King Street. Seconded by S. Murphy. Roll call vote: Murphy; yes, Kuns; no, Frieh; yes, Lewis; yes. Majority in favor, motion carries.

1230 Canyon Ave.- Enlarge bathroom under porch

John Gold, owner of 1230 Canyon Ave, is requesting approval for the enlargement of existing bathroom that will extend out to the enclosed porch. Discussion was held. S. Lewis made a motion to approve a certificate of appropriateness to 1230 Canyon Ave. to enlarged existing bathroom to extend to the enclosed porch. Seconded by S. Murphy. Roll call vote: Murphy; yes, Kuns; yes, Frieh; yes, Lewis; yes. All in favor, motion carries.

There being no further business to come before the Commission, D. Kuns made a motion to adjourn. S. Murphy seconded the motion. All in favor. Meeting adjourned at 7:05 p.m.



Emma Stayduhar, Chair of Commission

Attest:



Amanda Trogden, Deputy City Clerk